# CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL

# **Public Rights of Way Committee**

Date of Meeting: 13 June 2011

Report of: Greenspaces Manager

Subject/Title: Highways Act 1980 Section 119

Application for the Diversion of Public Footpath No. 9

(part), Parish of Chorlton

## 1.0 Report Summary

1.1 The report outlines the investigation to divert part of Public Footpath No.9 in the Parish of Chorlton. This includes a discussion of consultations carried out in respect of the proposal and the legal tests to be considered for a diversion order to be made. The proposal has been put forward by the Public Rights of Way Unit as an application has been made by the landowner concerned. The report makes a recommendation based on that information, for quasi-judicial decision by Members as to whether or not an Order should be made to divert the section of footpath concerned.

#### 2.0 Recommendation

- 2.1 An Order be made under Section 119 of the Highways Act 1980, as amended by the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, to divert part of Public Footpath No.9 Chorlton by creating a new section of public footpath and extinguishing the current path as illustrated on Plan No. HA/050 on the grounds that it is expedient in the interests of the owner of the land crossed by the path.
- 2.2 Public Notice of the making of the Order be given and in the event of there being no objections within the period specified, the Order be confirmed in the exercise of the powers conferred on the Council by the said Acts.
- 2.3 In the event of objections to the Order being received, Cheshire East Borough Council be responsible for the conduct of any hearing or public inquiry.

#### 3.0 Reasons for Recommendations

3.1 In accordance with Section 119(1) of the Highways Act 1980 it is within the Council's discretion to make the Order if it appears to the Council to be expedient to do so in the interests of the public or of the owner, lessee or occupier of the land crossed by the path. It is considered that the proposed diversion is in the interests of the landowner for the reasons set out in paragraph 10.4 & 10.5 below.

- 3.2 Where objections to the making of an Order are made and not withdrawn, the Order will fall to be confirmed by the Secretary of State. In considering whether to confirm an Order the Secretary will, in addition to the matters discussed at paragraph 3.1 above, have regard to:
  - Whether the path is substantially less convenient to the public as a consequence of the diversion.

And whether it is expedient to confirm the Order considering:

- The effect that the diversion would have on the enjoyment of the path or way as a whole.
- The effect that the coming into operation of the Order would have as respects other land served by the existing public right of way.
- The effect that any new public right of way created by the Order would have as respects the land over which the rights are so created and any land held with it.
- 3.3 Where there are no outstanding objections, it is for the Council to determine whether to confirm the Order in accordance with the matters referred to in paragraph 3.2 above.
- 3.4 The proposed route will not be 'substantially less convenient' than the existing route and diverting the footpath will be of considerable benefit to the landowner in terms of enhancing the security and privacy of the property and realigning the path to be available for public use. It is considered that the proposed route will be a satisfactory alternative to the current one and that the legal tests for the making and confirming of a diversion order are satisfied.
- 4.0 Wards Affected
- 4.1 Wybunbury
- 5.0 Local Ward Members
- 5.1 Councillor Janet Clowes
- 6.0 Policy Implications including
- 6.1 Not applicable
- 7.0 Financial Implications
- 7.1 Not applicable

#### 8.0 Legal Implications (Authorised by the Borough Solicitor)

8.1 Once an Order is made it may be the subject of objections. If objections are not withdrawn, this removes the power of the local highway authority to confirm the order itself, and may lead to a hearing/inquiry. It follows that the Committee decision may be confirmed or not confirmed. This process may involve additional legal support and resources

## 9.0 Risk Management

9.1 Not applicable

## 10.0 Background and Options

- 10.1 An application has been received from Mr and Mrs A Parker, Oakleigh Cottage, Newcastle Road, Chorlton, Crewe, Cheshire, CW2 5NG, requesting that the Council make an Order under section 119 of the Highways Act 1980 to divert part of Public Footpath no. 9 in the Parish of Chorlton.
- 10.2 Public Footpath No. 9, Chorlton, commences at its junction with Newcastle Road at OS grid reference SJ 7237 5138 and runs in a generally south south westerly direction along the surfaced drive and through the grounds of Oakleigh Cottage into a pasture field where it continues to the western field boundary bordering a railway line. From here, it follows a generally south south easterly direction along this field boundary before following a generally south easterly direction through further fields to terminate at its junction with Chorlton Lane at OS grid reference 7256 5084. The section of path to be diverted is shown by a solid black line on Plan No. HA/050. The proposed diversion is illustrated on the same plan with a black dashed line between points A-B.
- 10.3 The land over which the current path runs belongs to the applicants, Mr and Mrs Parker. The land over which the proposed diversion runs belongs to Mr and Mrs Sellars, Basford House, Newcastle Road, Chorlton, Crewe, CW2 5NG. Under section 119 of the Highways Act 1980 the Council may accede to an applicant's request, if it considers it expedient in the interests of the landowner to make an order to divert the footpath.
- 10.4 The section of Public Footpath No. 9, Chorlton to be diverted runs through the property of the landowner giving rise to concerns relating to security and safety and is also obstructed by buildings. Diverting the path will offer improved privacy and security whilst realigning the path to a usable line.
- 10.5 The proposed new route (A-B) would pass through a kissing gate at point A on plan HA/050 and continue along a level, surfaced path over pasture land to point B. Of benefit to the public, the new route would be significantly more enjoyable as it would pass through more open landscape and be unobstructed.

- 10.6 The Ward Councillor has been consulted about the proposal and no comments were received.
- 10.7 Hough and Chorlton Parish Council has been consulted and did not raise any objections.
- 10.8 The statutory undertakers have also been consulted and have raised no objections to the proposed diversion. If a diversion order is made, existing rights of access for the statutory undertakers to their apparatus and equipment are protected.
- 10.9 The user groups have been consulted. No comments have been received.
- 10.10 The Council's Nature Conservation Officer has been consulted and has raised no objection to the proposals.
- 10.11 An assessment in relation to Disability Discrimination Legislation has been carried out by the PROW Maintenance and Enforcement Officer for the area and it is considered that the proposed diversion is an improvement on the old route.

#### 11.0 Access to Information

The background papers relating to this report can be inspected by contacting the report writer:

Name: Marianne Nixon

Designation: Public Path Orders Officer

Tel No: 01606 271843

Email: marianne.nixon@cheshireeast.gov.uk

PROW File: 075D/432